

FARMINGTON CITY COUNCIL MEETING

January 22, 2008

CITY COUNCIL WORK SESSION / EAST CONFERENCE ROOM

PRESENT: Mayor Scott C. Harbertson, Council Members Richard Dutson, David Hale, Paula A. Alder, Sidney C. Young, Financial Director Keith Johnson, City Planner David Petersen, City Attorney Todd Godfrey, and Recording Secretary Kami Mahan.

Mayor Harbertson began discussion at 4:35 p.m. **David Petersen** offered the invocation.

READING AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS (Agenda Item #2)

The Council reviewed the minutes of the January 8, 2008 City Council meeting and made a few small changes.

(PUBLIC HEARING): CONSIDERATION OF PRELIMINARY / FINAL (PUD) MASTER PLAN FOR BRYNLEIGH COVE PUD: CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE ENACTING A PUD OVERLAY ZONE ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY; AND CONSIDERATION OF FINAL PLAT APPROVAL FOR BRYNLEIGH COVE PUD (Agenda Item #5)

David Petersen briefly reviewed this item.

CONSIDERATION OF "SCOPE OF WORK" FOR AMENDING THE CITY'S MASTER TRANSPORTATION PLAN - TIM TAYLOR (Agenda Item #6)

Mayor Harbertson said there is concern about the cost of the project, and that **Tim Taylor** would be present at the meeting to offer possible options for reducing the price.

CONSIDERATION OF CENTERCAL'S PROPOSAL TO PURCHASE PART OF CITY'S SHOP SITE (Agenda Item #7)

Mayor Harbertson briefly reviewed CenterCal's proposal.

CONSULTING SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH COOPER-ROBERTS-SIMONSEN ASSOCIATES (CRSA) FOR DOWNTOWN MASTER PLAN AMENDMENTS (Agenda Item #8)

David Petersen said he had not yet received an updated draft of the agreement. **Mayor Harbertson** reviewed this Agenda item, and said the County's plans are still unknown, which presents a problem. **The Mayor** and **Mr. Petersen** clarified some details of the agreement.

REVIEW OF NEW BEER LICENSING ORDINANCE - 1ST READING (Agenda Item #9)

There was a general discussion of the Council concerning the number of Class A beer licences allowed by the City, whose responsibility it is to issue licences, and other details of the proposed ordinance.

In response to discussion about the meaning of the term “bonafide restaurants”, **Todd Godfrey** said it was important not to exclude certain businesses. He clarified that licencing regulation covers only beer, and that the State licences all other substances. **Mr. Godfrey** said that the proposed ordinance is standard.

Keith Johnson stated that the City wants to have all renewals and expirations on the same day, and the Council discussed this issue. **Todd Godfrey** said the ordinance was written to ensure that CenterCal will have a sufficient number of licences.

AMENDED TRAIL EASEMENT TO MATCH EXISTING TRAIL ALIGNMENT IN HUNTER’S CREEK SUBDIVISION AND RELATED DOCUMENTS (Agenda Item #10)

David Petersen clarified the route of the trail on the packet map and reviewed the proposed easement amendment. He said the City Attorney had not yet reviewed the document to determine if modifications need to be made, and this was the reason for the conditions listed in the Memorandum dated January 18, 2008.

JOINT MEETING WITH PLANNING COMMISSION

5:30 p.m.

PRESENT: Mayor Scott C. Harbertson, Council Members Richard Dutson, David Hale, Paula A. Alder, Sidney C. Young, Planning Commission Chairman Kevin Poff, Planning Commissioners John Bilton, Rick Wyss, Randy Hillier, Paul Barker, Steve Andersen, Financial Director Keith Johnson, City Planner David Petersen, City Attorney Todd Godfrey, City Engineer Paul Hirst, Recording Secretary Kami Mahan, and CenterCal representatives Elizabeth Angyal, Jean Paul Wardy, and Tom Ellison.

PRESENTATION BY CENTERCAL’S REPRESENTATIVES REGARDING THE PROPOSED STATION PARK PROJECT

Mayor Harbertson introduced representatives from CenterCal, and invited them to present the updated Station Park project proposal.

Jean Paul Wardy displayed a graphic of the proposed Station Park development and explained the status of the project in some detail. Mr. Wardy answered questions from Planning Commission and City Council members regarding access to the development, prospective tenants, parking and traffic flow in the area, provisions for bicyclists and pedestrians, possible future residential accommodations, landscaping, and other amenities.

Tom Ellison, legal counsel for CenterCal, presented an overview of the project and reviewed the reasons for the proposed changes in the development agreement as outlined in the City Council packet. **Elizabeth Angyal** also clarified some aspects of the amended agreement.

REGULAR CITY COUNCIL SESSION / CITY CHAMBERS / CALL TO ORDER

PRESENT: Mayor Scott C. Harbertson, Council Members Richard Dutson, David Hale, Paula A. Alder, Sidney C. Young, Financial Director Keith Johnson, City Engineer Paul Hirst, Margy Lomax, City Recorder, Recording Secretary Kami Mahan, South Davis Transit Authority consultant Kim Clark, and Tim Taylor of WCEC Engineers.

Mayor Harbertson called the meeting to order at 7: 05 p.m. **David Hale** offered the invocation. The Pledge of Allegiance was led by **Jackson Madsen**.

READING AND APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS (Agenda Item #2)

The City Council reviewed the minutes of the City Council meeting held January 11, 2008.

Motion

Sid Young moved to approve the minutes of the January 11, 2008 City Council meeting with changes as noted. **Rick Dutson** seconded the motion which passed unanimously.

Mayor Harbertson said that **Kevin Poff** would return later in the meeting to give the Planning Commission report.

PLANNING COMMISSION REPORT (Agenda Item #3)

[**Kevin Poff** arrived at the meeting at 8:50 p.m.]

Planning Commission Chairman **Kevin Poff** reported the proceedings of the January 11, 2008 Planning Commission meeting:

- The Planning Commission approved the Preliminary Plat for the Brynleigh Cove PUD.
- The Planning Commission approved the request of the LDS church for a Conditional Use permit. **Mr. Poff** said the Commission was concerned about fencing on the property because of safety and visual flow. He said decisions on fencing were postponed until related issues are resolved by the City and County.
- The Commission viewed an URMA training DVD.
- **Mr. Poff** said that the Commission members are familiarizing themselves with Chapter 18 zone text to prepare to make possible recommendations.

FINAL REPORT - SOUTH DAVIS TRANSIT “DRAFT” EIS (Agenda Item #4)

Mayor Harbertson introduced South Davis Transit Authority consultant **Kim Clark**, who distributed copies of SDTA’s “Preferred Alternative” route. She summarized the final draft of the EIS report, and said that an “Alternative Analysis” will be presented to the Council in February.

Ms. Clark briefly reviewed a history of the project, and explained its goals and purposes. She said SDTA has addressed both north-south and east-west mobility of the region, and is proposing amenities to draw ridership. She referred to the map handout and explained the reasons for the “Preferred Alternative” route. She said that there is ridership up to Parrish Lane in Centerville, where it then drops off, and that there are concerns about alignments in Farmington. There will be a rail option from downtown Salt Lake City to Parrish Lane, and a local bus system will serve from Parrish Lane to the commuter rail.

In response to a question by **Rick Dutson**, **Kim Clark** said BRT is an option, and that it’s unclear whether this would be a local bus system that serves as a circulator, or an enhanced bus system. She said more information will be available in the second phase of the project.

Mayor Harbertson questioned how the rail system would interact with the commuter rail site because of the way the Clark Lane area is developing. He explained that the route proposed in the previous project master plan would now be unworkable.

There was some discussion regarding how the route could reach the Station Park area. In response to a question by **Paula Alder**, **Kim Clark** said the presentation has a background bus system which was an important component in the overall project. Installing a north-south regional line is inadequate without the background bus system as support. This issue will be refined throughout the process.

Ms. Clark restated that further details will be addressed when the “Alternative Analysis” is presented to the Council in February. She said she would send the presentation outline to the City Council (because of technical difficulties she was unable to display the overhead presentation).

[**Cory Ritz** arrived at the meeting at 7:25 p.m.]

Sid Young suggested that the effect of the proposal on current bus routes should be addressed, and **Ms. Clark** said this, along with other issues, will be evaluated.

(PUBLIC HEARING): CONSIDERATION OF PRELIMINARY / FINAL (PUD) MASTER PLAN FOR BRYNLEIGH COVE PUD: CONSIDERATION OF ORDINANCE ENACTING A PUD OVERLAY ZONE ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY; AND CONSIDERATION OF FINAL PLAT APPROVAL FOR BRYNLEIGH COVE PUD. (Agenda Item #5)

Mayor Harbertson introduced this Agenda item, and said there are still concerns with elevations

of the buildings in the development, and that the Planning Commission proposed conditions, including one pertaining to fencing.

David Petersen displayed an overhead vicinity map, and reviewed the history and “Background Information” of the development as contained in the Council packet. He showed a copy of the final plat to the Council members and explained how the units sit on the lots. He referred to Condition #5 in the packet Memo dated January 18, 2008, and said that the lots are too narrow to accommodate the proposed units.

Mr. Petersen said final building elevations for the development were not available because developer **Dana Kendrick** did not know about this meeting or the Staff report until two hours previously. **Mr. Petersen** reviewed the options of action the Council could take.

Mayor Harbertson invited the applicant to address the Council.

Dana Kendrick, 179 East South Temple, Salt Lake City, said he was caught off-guard and had believed everything was in order following a conversation with Jared Hall. **Mr. Kendrick** expressed his frustration with the process and said he could not afford to spend any more money on architectural drawings.

Mr. Kendrick said he received a letter dated March 19, 2007 stating that the Schematic Plan had been recommended for approval, and that at the City’s request he submitted drawings with the type of product being proposed. He said that at that time there were concerns by the City about the sewer lines, and that he was prepared to do whatever the City wanted in order to get the project completed. **Mr. Kendrick** said this was the first he had heard about the PUD requirement.

Mr. Kendrick said his firm bought the project from another developer as a favor, and that they typically do not do four-lot subdivisions. He said at this point they cannot afford to build the project. When the sewer lines became an issue, the buildings had to be modified. **Mr. Kendrick** said he could not justify spending additional money for new architectural drawings after all the time that has been invested in the project. He asked for preliminary site plan approval.

Mr. Kendrick stated that the proposed development is far more valuable than surrounding properties. The main floor living situation is a benefit to the City and the neighborhood. Building elevation and landscaping approvals can be withheld, which can possibly be addressed in CC&R’s. **Mr. Kendrick** said that Jared Hall did not clarify the City requirements, that he needs to be free of the property, and will do anything reasonable to move forward. He said he is frustrated with seemingly ever-changing requirements.

Public Hearing Opened

Mayor Harbertson opened the meeting to a public hearing at 7:40 p.m.

There were no comments.

Public Hearing Closed

The Mayor closed the public hearing at 7:40 p.m. and turned the matter over to the Council for discussion.

David Petersen suggested a compromise of including a sunset clause in the enclosed ordinance enacting the overlay on the property which would be reasonable for the developer and any potential builders. He said the plat is already subject to acceptance of the building elevations. **Dana Kendrick** said he only needed final master plan and final plat approval before he can move forward. He said recordation of the final plat is not necessary to move forward. **Mr. Petersen** said it may be prudent to go with the Commission's suggested first motion with an added sunset clause to the ordinance enacting the PUD overlay.

Sid Young asked about the reasoning for a PUD requirement in Item #7 of the December 13, 2007 letter to the applicant (contained in the Council packet), and **Mr. Petersen** said a PUD is required in order to approve a zero lot line setback. **Cory Ritz** said he thought the PUD requirement was always mentioned in conjunction with applications reviewed by the Planning Commission for this development.

Mayor Harbertson said he did not understand the mis-communication. There was some discussion of the Council concerning the expiration of the sunset clause. **Mr. Kendrick** said they knew it was a PUD, but said he did not know the zoning was not covered.

David Hale raised concern over compressing the elevations, saying this would result in the front of each unit having only a front door, a garage door, and much concrete. **Mr. Kendrick** explained that in similar developments this look is unattractive because of the plain stucco and lack of pitched roofs, and that CC&R requirements for this development can address these issues. He said the interiors of the units are attractive and sell well.

Sid Young pointed out that this was the plan most favored by the neighboring residents. **Cory Ritz** said the Planning Commission had concern as the development commenced that it may be out of character for the neighborhood, and that the appearance of the finished project was unknown. **David Petersen** said that in eighteen months the subdivision reverts back to Schematic Plan approval. **Dana Kendrick** commented that there is a waiting list for this type of home.

Motion

David Hale moved to adopt the motion suggested by the Planning Commission, which is to grant Preliminary and Final (PUD) Master Plan approval, and final plat approval for the Brynleigh Cove PUD subject to the following recommended seven conditions, and approval of the enabling ordinance in the City

Council packet enacting a PUD overlay on the subject property. A written condition shall be added to the text of the ordinance whereby it will expire and the overlay and all subdivision and PUD approvals (except schematic plan approval) shall become null and void in 18 months of January 22, 2008, unless the City issues a building permit for the subject property consistent with the final master plan/plat approval herein and all conditions related thereto. The written condition shall also become condition number eight of the aforementioned approvals as set forth below.

1. Applicant shall comply with all conditions of previous approvals.
2. Final improvement drawings, including a grading and drainage plan, must be reviewed and approved by the City Engineer, Fire Department, Public Works Department, DCSD, and Benchland Irrigation.
3. Applicant shall continue to work with city staff to make any necessary corrections to the plat.
4. Applicant shall submit draft CC&R's to the city for review. Such documents will adequately address the maintenance of all common open space on the project.
5. Applicant shall submit final building elevations of the units to the Planning Dept. For review and approvals by the Planning Commission and City Council.
6. Applicant shall provide a detailed plan for the common open space to the Planning department for review and approval prior to recording. Such plan shall include a solid fence along the entirety of the south property line, no less than 6 feet in height. Plans shall also include details for the staining and/or pattern of concrete for the sidewalk and drive approaches where they cross the common open space.
7. The applicant must complete the following as set forth in the Preliminary/Final (PUD) master Plan and Final Plat checklists:
 - a. A tabulation of the project's open (common) areas, hard surfaces, buildable areas, etc.
 - b. Elevations of the fence to be provided.
 - c. Full landscaping plans, including irrigation systems.
 - d. Soils report.
8. A written condition shall be added to the text of the enabling ordinance enacting a PUD

overlay on the subject property whereby it will expire and the overlay and all subdivision and PUD approvals (except schematic plan approval) shall become null and void within 18 months of January 22, 2008, unless the City issues a building permit for the subject property consistent with the final master plan/plat approval herein and all conditions related thereto.

Paula Alder seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

CONSIDERATION OF “SCOPE OF WORK” FOR AMENDING THE CITY’S MASTER TRANSPORTATION PLAN - TIM TAYLOR (Agenda Item #6)

Mayor Harbertson introduced **Tim Taylor** of WCEC Engineering and invited him to present the “Scope of Work” proposal to reduce costs to the City. **Mr. Taylor** said one of the main challenges relates to the detailed traffic operations on Park Lane in conjunction with surrounding properties. He said this proposal is the equivalent of cumulatively hiring traffic engineers to evaluate traffic issues for all involved. The other major expense concerns the number of meetings needed, because developers prefer one-on-one meetings due to the sensitive nature of their plans.

Mr. Taylor said one solution to reduce costs is to appoint a steering committee consisting of a group which would include a Planning Commissioner, a Council member, and key stakeholders. The City would receive progress updates, and a few meetings could be combined.

The other solution proposed by **Mr. Taylor** was to combine two separate land use scenarios into one. He said the challenge is that the cost of doing two scenarios is not much more than doing one. Task 1 of Phase 2 of the proposal regarding Regional Mitigation is very labor intensive. At the point Task 2 (Preliminary Design) of this Phase is done, there will need to be zoning changes. **Mr. Taylor** said that Horrocks Engineering indicated that it would be possible but difficult to lower the cost approximately \$10,000.

Tim Taylor said expenditures can probably be reduced to approximately \$100,000 to \$105,000, and that Horrocks will not have a mark-up. **Mayor Harbertson** said \$80,000 is budgeted for the project. **Sid Young** expressed concern about cutting corners.

The Mayor inquired about timing of the project, and **Mr. Taylor** estimated that Phase 1 should be completed by the end of March, and Phase 2 in June. There was some discussion of the Council regarding the need for, and timing of, some of the proposed Tasks. **Cory Ritz** said some decisions will be driven by the State, and that the City may be getting ahead of itself by committing funds. **Mr. Taylor** clarified how the design process works, and said that the potential interchange could be time consuming because of public input.

Sid Young pointed out that using this proposal is different than UDOT’s normal process, that steps should not be skipped, and that everything should be done properly. **Rick Dutson** agreed, and added that

following the Tasks in the proposal keeps the City close to the budget. **Mayor Harbertson** said budget adjustments can be addressed at an upcoming public hearing.

Tim Taylor stated that it is important to move forward because of the west side development community, as well the eventual need for UDOT to deal with problems in the Park Lane interchange. **Sid Young** added that it is important for citizens to know that the City is invested in trying to solve these problems.

Cory Ritz requested that City Engineer **Paul Hirst** provide input into the discussion. **Mr. Hirst** said the Shepard Lane interchange situation has been going on for too many years, and that a Pandora's box could be opened similar to what happened with the Legacy interchange. He said he had no misgivings about **Mr. Taylor's** proposal, but he advised moving forward carefully and taking the time to do it right. The actions of the legislature will have an impact on decisions facing the City. It is important to make sure the City has the capital and ability to address these issues.

Tim Taylor suggested that the Council fund only Phase 1 of the proposal, and Council members agreed.

Motion

Rick Dutson moved to approve Phase 1 of the Scope of Work proposal to amend the City's Master Transportation Plan as outlined by WCEC Engineer Tim Taylor for a total budget cost of \$50,000 to \$55,000 with the understanding that the City will do an evaluation at the completion of Phase 1 to determine what direction should be followed as the City continues to move forward in the process of amending its Master Transportation Plan. The motion was seconded by **Sid Young**, and unanimously approved.

Mr. Dutson reiterated that it is important to continue to move forward for the sake of the west side developers and the community. **Tim Taylor** said it makes sense for the City to look at the maximum allowable under the zoning. He also said that regional transportation issues need to be addressed. In response to a question by **Paula Alder**, **Mr. Taylor** advised doing Phase 1, then delay Phase 2 until the legislature makes its decision with respect to this issue.

CONSIDERATION OF CENTERCAL'S PROPOSAL TO PURCHASE PART OF CITY'S SHOP SITE (Agenda Item #7)

Mayor Harbertson said this item was discussed in the work session, and that CenterCal's offer of \$8.00 per square foot for the shop site was fair. **The Mayor** explained that rather than using the money to purchase an interest in the old shop site, the Public Works department has requested bids to reconstruct the building to its former condition. One bid for \$130,000 has been received, and there are bids for slightly more.

CenterCal's project manager, who was present at the meeting, requested copies of the bids, and **Keith Johnson** said the City would have them by the end of the week.

Motion

Sid Young made a motion to accept CenterCal's proposal of \$8.00 per square foot for a part of the City's shop site, with the understanding that negotiations will proceed on the cost of replacing the existing outbuilding on that property. The motion was seconded by **David Hale**, and passed with a unanimous vote.

CONSULTING SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH COOPER-ROBERTS-SIMONSEN ASSOCIATES (CRSA) FOR DOWNTOWN MASTER PLAN AMENDMENTS (Agenda Item #8)

David Petersen distributed an updated consulting agreement to the Council members, and identified several modifications. He suggested that the agreement be reviewed again by the City Attorney if the Council chose to approve it.

Sid Young suggested that Task 2 of Phase 2 of the agreement be re-considered to include evaluating impact on the community, and **Mayor Harbertson** said this would be addressed in the City Attorney's review. **David Petersen** said the costs would be split amongst three governmental entities, and that Max Forbush is negotiating fees with Cooper-Roberts-Simonsen to have them determine the best location for a future city hall site. He said this item will come before the City Council a future meeting.

Motion

David Hale moved to approve the latest draft of the Consulting Services Agreement with Cooper-Roberts-Simonsen Associates (CRSA) for a Downtown Master Plan, and that the Agreement will be reviewed by the City Attorney prior to implementation. **Rick Dutson** seconded the motion, which passed by a unanimous vote.

REVIEW OF NEW BEER LICENSING ORDINANCE - 1ST READING (Agenda Item #9)

Mayor Harbertson questioned if the number of beer licences the City grants, in relation to the definition of "bonafide restaurant", should be changed. With respect to Class A licences, Mayor Harbertson questioned if an issuance of one per thousand residents was too high. There was a general discussion of the Council on this issue. **The Mayor** stated that the intent of the ordinance is standard in relation to other cities. **Cory Ritz** commented that the Planning Commission felt the ordinance would have more impact on the Fair Park than the downtown area.

The Mayor said that when licences come due the amount should be pro-rated, and **Margy Lomax** stated that this was the current policy. **David Hale** questioned the advisability of having all renewals and expirations at once. **Keith Johnson** said this was easier and it works. The expiration date is December 31st.

In response to questions from **Mayor Harbertson**, **Keith Johnson** clarified that the renewal date of January 31, 2006 under Section 6-4-150 (Expiration and Renewal) of the ordinance was probably inaccurate. He said the regulatory fees are set at \$200, and added that the ordinance would probably be finalized sometime in February.

AMENDED TRAIL EASEMENT TO MATCH EXISTING TRAIL ALIGNMENT IN HUNTER'S CREEK SUBDIVISION AND RELATED DOCUMENTS (Agenda Item #10)

Mayor Harbertson introduced this Agenda item. **David Petersen** showed the Council a map of the trail and pointed out the exact route proposed. He said that copies of stock "Revocation and Abandonment of Trail Easement" forms and easement forms for trails were included in the Council packet, both of which would be used in this action. **Mr. Petersen** stated that only legal descriptions are needed.

Motion

Rick Dutson moved to approve the amended trail easement that reflects the exact location of the existing trail, and to authorize the revocation and abandonment of the trail easement, subject to the following conditions:

1. Woodside must provide legal descriptions for each document, which easements must be reviewed and approved by the City Engineer.
2. The City Attorney must review and approve both documents and may make changes where he deems necessary.
3. The location of the trail easement must be staked on the property to allow staff the ability to verify that the placement of the trail (or the legal description) is correct.
4. The new trail easement must be accompanied by a title report for review by the City to ensure the property owner is the Grantor.
5. The timing of the recording of both documents must be done as directed by the City Attorney.

David Hale seconded the motion, which passed unanimously.

RESOLUTION ADOPTING CONSERVATION EASEMENT AMENDMENT POLICY (Agenda Item #11)

Mayor Harbertson stated that City Attorney Lisa Romney advised putting this policy in place.

Motion

David Hale made a motion to approve the Resolution adopting a Conservation Easement Amendment policy as outlined by attorney Lisa Romney. The motion was seconded by **Rick Dutson**, and passed by a unanimous vote.

ORDINANCE AMENDING AND CODIFYING TITLE 5 (Agenda Item #12)

Mayor Harbertson briefly introduced this Agenda item, and **Keith Johnson** stated that he will start providing monthly financial reports. A few details of the amended ordinance were reviewed.

Motion

Dave Hale moved to approve the Revenue and Finance Ordinance amending and codifying Title 5, as explained by City Finance Director **Keith Johnson**. **Paula Alder** seconded the motion. A roll call vote was taken, and Council members **Alder, Dutson, Hale, Ritz, and Young** voted in favor of the motion.

CONSIDERATION OF SETTING PUBLIC HEARING DATE FOR FEBRUARY 19 TO CONSIDER AMENDMENTS TO CITY BUDGETS (Agenda Item #13)

Mayor Harbertson introduced this item, and **Keith Johnson** stated that the changes in the amendments to the City budgets will be available at the next Council meeting.

Motion

David Hale moved to authorize that public hearing notices be sent out to consider amendments to City budgets at the February 19th, 2008 City Council meeting. **Paula Alder** seconded the motion, which passed by a unanimous vote.

MAYOR HARBERTSON'S REPORT (Agenda Item #14)

Mayor Harbertson announced that tomorrow (January 23, 2008) was Legislature Day.

The Mayor gave an account of the meeting with Chris and Jeanna Nielsen regarding the sale of their property. He also reported on his meeting with Diane Mcguire and Todd Jensen.

CITY COUNCIL REPORTS (Agenda Item #15)

Sid Young reported on the Trails Committee meeting. There was some discussion of the Council concerning the official Trails map, and the reluctance of the Forest Service to have user trails included on the map. **Mr. Young** said he wanted to consult with the City Attorney on this issue, and that it is important to maintain a positive relationship with the Forest Service.

In response to an earlier inquiry by **Sid Young, Keith Johnson** said that Max Forbush is receiving bids for a fence to go along the Shepard Lane bridge.

Rick Dutson distributed an information sheet on “Construction’s Key Role in the U.S. and Utah”, and he reported on an ICSC local meeting which he attended.

Paula Alder announced the details of Senior Citizen Night, Bingo, and the Sweetheart Serenade. She also submitted changes to the By-Laws of the Youth City Council to **Margy Lomax**, and said she needed the final draft in time for the March YCC meeting.

Mayor Harbertson had information distributed on the National League seminar and suggested that those who are interested in attending look into associating with the League of Cities and Towns, and contacting Layton or Bountiful City. Those interested should inform **Margy Lomax**.

The Mayor requested that **Margy Lomax** send a photo with the registration for ICSC, and asked to have membership numbers emailed to him.

ADJOURNMENT

David Hale moved to adjourn the meeting at 9:25 p.m. The motion was seconded by **Rick Dutson** and passed unanimously.

Margy Lomax
Farmington City Recorder